

# **DETERMINATION OF SOIL MOISTURE CONTENT AND DENSITY USING ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY VALUES**

by

**MOHD HAZREEK BIN ZAINAL ABIDIN**

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy**

**January 2018**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost Praise to Allah SWT. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my respective main supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Rosli Saad for his tremendous support of my Ph.D study, for his patience, motivation, enthusiasm and valuable knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. One simply could not wish for a better or friendlier and patience supervisor. Besides my main supervisor, I would like to thank my co-supervisor, Professor Dr. Fauziah Ahmad and Professor Dr. Devapriya Chitral Wijeyesekera for their guidance, supportive, insightful comments and help throughout my study phase.

My sincere thanks also go to Geophysics and Civil Engineering lab technical staff for sacrificing their time and energy assisting me in the projects. In my daily work I have been blessed with a helpful, friendly and cheerful group of all respective fellow friends in Geophysics and Civil Engineering course mate. Moreover, million thanks to my family for their prayers, support, patience and understanding throughout my study phase.

Finally, I would like to thank to Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM), Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) and Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) for their sponsorship of my studies.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	ii
Table of contents	iii
List of tables	vi
List of figures	ix
List of symbols	xv
List of abbreviations	xviii
Abstrak	xxi
Abstract	xxiii

<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.0	Background of study	1
1.1	Problem statements	2
1.2	Research objectives	3
1.3	Scope of study	4
1.4	Significant and novelty of the study	4
1.5	Layout of thesis	5
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>8</b>
2.0	Introduction	8
2.1	Previous works	8
	2.1.2 Geotechnical method	8
	2.2.1 Geophysical method	12
	2.2.3 Integration of geotechnical and geophysical methods	33
2.2	Chapter summary	43

<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>45</b>
3.0	Introduction	45
3.1	Soil classification test	47
3.2	Electrical resistivity method	49
3.3	Soil box resistivity test	53
3.4	2-D electrical resistivity test	57
3.5	Data analysis	65
3.6	Chapter summary	68
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>69</b>
4.0	Introduction	69
4.1	Geotechnical properties of the soil	69
4.1.1	Moisture content	70
4.1.2	Density	72
4.1.3	Particle size distribution	77
4.1.4	Specific gravity	89
4.1.5	Void ratio and porosity	90
4.1.6	Atterberg limit	92
4.2	Soil box resistivity	95
4.2.1	SAND	95
4.2.2	Silty SAND	109
4.3	Physical field model	122
4.3.1	Physical field model 1	123
4.3.2	Physical field model 2	139
4.4	Field	155
4.5	Electrical resistivity coefficient factor (C)	164
4.6	Chapter summary	178

<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>180</b>
5.0	Introduction	180
5.2	Conclusions	180
5.3	Recommendations	182
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>184</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>		



## LIST OF TABLES

	<b>Page</b>
Table 4.1	Moisture content results for physical field model 1 (SAND) 71
Table 4.2	Moisture content results for physical field model 2 (Silty SAND) 71
Table 4.3	Field moisture content results 72
Table 4.4	Density results for physical field model 1 (SAND) 74
Table 4.5	Density results for physical field model 2 (Silty SAND) 75
Table 4.6	Field density results 75
Table 4.7	Bulk density for SAND 75
Table 4.8	Bulk density for Silty SAND 75
Table 4.9	PSD quantification result for physical field model 1 in 1 hour under loose condition 79
Table 4.10	PSD quantification result for physical field model 1 in 2 hour under loose condition. 80
Table 4.11	PSD quantification result for physical field model 1 in 1 hour under dense condition. 81
Table 4.12	PSD quantification result for physical field model 1 in 2 hour under dense condition. 82
Table 4.13	PSD quantification result for physical field model 2 in 2 hour under loose condition. 83
Table 4.14	PSD quantification result for physical field model 2 in 5 hour under loose condition. 84
Table 4.15	PSD quantification result for physical field model 2 in 2 hour under dense condition. 85
Table 4.16	PSD quantification result for physical field model 2 in 5 hour under dense condition. 86
Table 4.17	Field PSD quantification result at Kuala Kangsar 87
Table 4.18	Field PSD quantification result at Lenggong 88
Table 4.19	Specific gravity results for physical field model 1 (SAND) 89

Table 4.20	Specific gravity results for physical field model 2 (Silty SAND)	90
Table 4.21	Field specific gravity results	90
Table 4.22	Void ratio ( $e = G_s \rho_w / (\rho_d - 1)$ ) and porosity ( $\eta = e / (1 + e)$ ) results for physical field model 1 (SAND)	92
Table 4.23	Void ratio ( $e = G_s \rho_w / (\rho_d - 1)$ ) and porosity ( $\eta = e / (1 + e)$ ) results for physical field model 2 (Silty SAND)	92
Table 4.24	Field void ratio ( $e = G_s \rho_w / (\rho_d - 1)$ ) and porosity ( $\eta = e / (1 + e)$ ) results	92
Table 4.25	Atterberg limit result for miniature model 2 (Silty SAND)	93
Table 4.26	Atterberg limit result for field sample at Lenggong	93
Table 4.27	ERV for SAND using 1 % of water (15 ml of distilled water)	99
Table 4.28	ERV for SAND using 2 % of water (30 ml of distilled water)	100
Table 4.29	ERV for SAND using 3 % of water (45 ml of distilled water)	101
Table 4.30	Statistical results for laboratory test (SAND)	108
Table 4.31	ERV for Silty SAND using 1 % of water (15 ml of distil water)	113
Table 4.32	ERV for Silty SAND using 2 % of water (30 ml of distil water)	114
Table 4.33	ERV for Silty SAND using 3 % of water (45 ml of distil water)	115
Table 4.34	Statistical results for laboratory test (Silty SAND)	122
Table 4.35	Electrical resistivity and basic soil properties of soil results for physical field model 1 under loose condition and 1 hr saturation	127
Table 4.36	Electrical resistivity and basic soil properties of soil results for physical field model 2 under loose condition and 2 hrs saturation	131
Table 4.37	Electrical resistivity and basic soil properties of soil results for physical field model 1 under dense condition and 1 hr saturation	135
Table 4.38	Electrical resistivity and basic soil properties of soil results for physical field model 1 under dense condition and 2 hrs saturation	139
Table 4.39	Electrical resistivity and basic soil properties of soil results for physical field model 2 under loose condition and 2 hrs saturation	143
Table 4.40	Electrical resistivity and basic soil properties of soil results for physical field model 2 under loose condition and 5 hrs saturation	146

Table 4.41	Electrical resistivity and basic soil properties of soil results for physical field model 2 under dense condition and 2 hrs saturation	150
Table 4.42	Electrical resistivity and basic soil properties of soil results for physical field model 2 under dense condition and 5 hrs saturation	154
Table 4.43	Electrical resistivity and basic soil properties of soil results for Kuala Kangsar site under natural condition	159
Table 4.44	Electrical resistivity and basic soil properties of soil results for Lenggong site under natural condition	163
Table 4.45	Coefficient factor (C) developed for ERV correlation (SAND in loose condition)	167
Table 4.46	Coefficient factor (C) developed for ERV correlation (SAND in dense condition)	168
Table 4.47	Coefficient factor (C) developed for ERV correlation (Silty SAND in loose condition)	169
Table 4.48	Coefficient factor (C) developed for ERV correlation (Silty SAND in dense condition)	170
Table 4.49	Generalize C values for SAND	171
Table 4.50	Generalize C values for Silty SAND	171
Table 4.51	Coefficient factor (C) developed for ERV correlation (Kuala Kangsar)	172
Table 4.52	Coefficient factor (C) developed for ERV correlation (Lenggong)	173
Table 4.53	Summary of C for moisture content from physical field model 1 and Kuala Kangsar site	174
Table 4.54	Summary of C for density from physical field model 1 and Kuala Kangsar site	175
Table 4.55	Summary of C for moisture content from physical field model 2 and Lenggong site	176
Table 4.56	Summary of C for density from physical field model 2 and Lenggong site	177



## LIST OF FIGURES

	<b>Page</b>
Figure 3.1      Research flow chart	46
Figure 3.2      Soil material used in this study	47
Figure 3.3      Atterberg limit test	47
Figure 3.4      Particle size distribution test	48
Figure 3.5      Field density test (Sand replacement method)	48
Figure 3.6      Specific gravity test	48
Figure 3.7      Basic configuration of electrical resistivity method	50
Figure 3.8      Basic configuration of 2-D ERI in electrical resistivity method and the sequence of measurements used to build up a pseudosection (Barker, 1997)	51
Figure 3.9      Soil box resistivity measurement involving its simple geometry factor, K	52
Figure 3.10      Common electrical resistivity array (Seidel and Lange, 2007)	52
Figure 3.11      Apparatus required for soil box resistivity test	54
Figure 3.12      Soil sample quantity required at the beginning of the soil box test	54
Figure 3.13      Soil box resistivity test in progress	55
Figure 3.14      Hammer and wooden plate used to compact the soil inside the box	55
Figure 3.15      Weight of the soil was determined after the laboratory resistivity test in order to determine bulk density of the present soil tested	55
Figure 3.16      A total of 25 number of soil moisture content was determined for each 25 number of laboratory resistivity test	55
Figure 3.17      2-D electrical resistivity on physical model test	58
Figure 3.18      2-D electrical resistivity on field test	58
Figure 3.19      2-D resistivity test on physical model and field with 0.05 m electrode spacing	59

Figure 3.20	2-D electrical resistivity test on physical field model 1 (SAND) under loose and dense condition	60
Figure 3.21	2-D electrical resistivity test on physical field model 2 (Silty SAND) under loose and dense condition	60
Figure 3.22	Mechanical compactor used for physical field model compaction	61
Figure 3.23	Schematic diagram of miniature model test	62
Figure 3.24	Geology of field test location (modified from JMG, 1985)	63
Figure 3.25	Kuala Kangsar physical observation	64
Figure 3.26	Lenggong physical observation	64
Figure 3.27	Field test schematic diagram at Lenggong and Kuala Kangsar	65
Figure 4.1	Lab and Physical field model density correlation for SAND	76
Figure 4.2	Lab and Physical field model density correlation for Silty SAND	76
Figure 4.3	PSD curve for physical field model 1 under loose condition (1 hr)	79
Figure 4.4	PSD curve for physical field model 1 under loose condition (2 hr)	80
Figure 4.5	PSD curve for physical field model 1 under dense condition (1 hr)	81
Figure 4.6	PSD curve for physical field model 1 under dense condition (2 hr)	82
Figure 4.7	PSD curve for physical field model 2 under loose condition (2 hr)	83
Figure 4.8	PSD curve for physical field model 2 under loose condition (5 hr)	84
Figure 4.9	PSD curve for physical field model 2 under dense condition (2 hr)	85
Figure 4.10	PSD curve for physical field model 2 under dense condition (5 hr)	86
Figure 4.11	PSD curve for field test at Kuala Kangsar (Natural condition)	87
Figure 4.12	PSD curve for field test at Lenggong (Natural condition)	88
Figure 4.13	Liquid limit result of soil material used at physical field model 2 (Silty SAND)	94
Figure 4.14	Liquid limit result of soil material for field sample at Lenggong	94
Figure 4.15	MC with ERV correlation for 1 % usage of water (Top: Loose condition, Bottom: Dense condition).	102

Figure 4.16	MC with ERV correlation for 2 % usage of water (Top: Loose condition, Bottom: Dense condition)	103
Figure 4.17	MC with ERV correlation for 3 % usage of water (Top: Loose condition, Bottom: Dense condition).	104
Figure 4.18	$\rho_{\text{Bulk}}$ with ERV correlation for 1 % usage of water (Top: Loose condition, Bottom: Dense condition).	105
Figure 4.19	$\rho_{\text{Bulk}}$ with ERV correlation for 2 % usage of water (Top: Loose condition, Bottom: Dense condition)	106
Figure 4.20	$\rho_{\text{Bulk}}$ with ERV correlation for 3 % usage of water (Top: Loose condition, Bottom: Dense condition).	107
Figure 4.21	MC with ERV correlation for 1 % usage of water (Top: Loose condition, Bottom: Dense condition).	116
Figure 4.22	MC with ERV correlation for 2 % usage of water (Top: Loose condition, Bottom: Dense condition).	117
Figure 4.23	MC with ERV correlation for 3 % usage of water (Top: Loose condition, Bottom: Dense condition).	118
Figure 4.24	$\rho_{\text{Bulk}}$ with ERV correlation for 1 % usage of water (Top: Loose condition, Bottom: Dense condition).	119
Figure 4.25	$\rho_{\text{Bulk}}$ with ERV correlation for 2 % usage of water (Top: Loose condition, Bottom: Dense condition).	120
Figure 4.26	$\rho_{\text{Bulk}}$ with ERV correlation for 3 % usage of water (Top: Loose condition, Bottom: Dense condition).	121
Figure 4.27	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Wenner array (Loose condition in 1 hr)	125
Figure 4.28	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Schlumberger array (Loose condition in 1 hr)	125
Figure 4.29	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Dipole-dipole array (Loose condition in 1 hr)	126
Figure 4.30	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Pole-dipole array (Loose condition in 1 hr)	126
Figure 4.31	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Wenner array (Loose condition in 2 hrs)	129
Figure 4.32	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Schlumberger array (Loose condition in 2 hrs)	129

Figure 4.33	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Dipole-dipole array (Loose condition in 2 hrs)	130
Figure 4.34	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Pole-dipole array (Loose condition in 2 hrs)	130
Figure 4.35	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Wenner array (Dense condition in 1 hr)	133
Figure 4.36	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 Using Schlumberger array (Dense condition in 1 hr)	133
Figure 4.37	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Dipole-dipole array (Dense condition in 1 hr)	134
Figure 4.38	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Pole-dipole array (Dense condition in 1 hr)	134
Figure 4.39	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Wenner array (Dense condition in 2 hrs)	137
Figure 4.40	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Schlumberger array (Dense condition in 2 hrs)	138
Figure 4.41	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Dipole-dipole array (Dense condition in 2 hrs)	138
Figure 4.42	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 1 using Pole-dipole array (Dense condition in 2 hrs)	138
Figure 4.43	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Wenner array (Loose condition in 2 hrs)	141
Figure 4.44	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Schlumberger array (Loose condition in 2 hrs)	142
Figure 4.45	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Dipole-dipole array (Loose condition in 2 hrs)	142
Figure 4.46	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Pole-dipole array (Loose condition in 2 hrs)	142
Figure 4.47	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Wenner array (Loose condition in 5 hrs)	144
Figure 4.48	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Schlumberger array (Loose condition in 5 hrs)	145
Figure 4.49	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2	145

	using Dipole-dipole array (Loose condition in 5 hrs)	
Figure 4.50	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Pole-dipole array (Loose condition in 5 hrs)	145
Figure 4.51	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Wenner array (Dense condition in 2 hrs)	148
Figure 4.52	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Schlumberger array (Dense condition in 2 hrs)	148
Figure 4.53	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Dipole-dipole array (Dense condition in 2 hrs)	149
Figure 4.54	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Pole-dipole array (Dense condition in 2 hrs)	149
Figure 4.55	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Wenner array (Dense condition in 5 hrs)	152
Figure 4.56	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Schlumberger array (Dense condition in 5 hrs)	152
Figure 4.57	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Dipole-dipole array (Dense condition in 5 hrs)	153
Figure 4.58	Inverse resistivity model section for physical field model 2 using Pole-dipole array (Dense condition in 5 hrs)	153
Figure 4.59	Inverse resistivity model section for Kuala Kangsar site using Wenner array	157
Figure 4.60	Inverse resistivity model section for Kuala Kangsar site using Schlumberger array	157
Figure 4.61	Inverse resistivity model section for Kuala Kangsar site using Dipole-dipole array	158
Figure 4.62	Inverse resistivity model section for Kuala Kangsar site using Pole-dipole array	158
Figure 4.63	Inverse resistivity model section for Lenggong site using Wenner array	161
Figure 4.64	Inverse resistivity model section for Lenggong site using Schlumberger array	162
Figure 4.65	Inverse resistivity model section for Lenggong site using Dipole-dipole array	162

Figure 4.66	Inverse resistivity model section for Lenggong site using Pole-dipole array	162
Figure 4.67	Coefficient factor correlation between physical field model and field for SAND and Silty SAND	178



## LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	Cross section area
A(n)	Sampling point an
a	Electrode spacing
B(n)	Sampling point bn
C	Coefficient factor
C(n)	Sampling point cn
C1	Current electrode 1
C2	Current electrode 2
cm	Centimeter
D <sub>10</sub>	Maximum size of the smallest 10 percent of the sample
D <sub>30</sub>	Maximum size of the smallest 30 percent of the sample
D <sub>60</sub>	Maximum size of the smallest 30 percent of the sample
d	Particle size
e	Void ratio
ex	Exponential
f <sub>s</sub>	Sleeve friction
G <sub>s</sub>	Specific gravity
g	Gram
Hz	Hertz
hr	Hour
I	Current flow
K <sub>v</sub>	Vertical hydraulic conductivity
k	Kilo
L	Length

ln	Natural logarithm
M	Mega
MP	Mackintosh value
MC	Moisture content
m	Meter
ml	Milliliter
mm	Millimeter
N	North
(N)	Standard penetration test value
na	Distance between a current electrode to nearest potential electrode
P	Compressional wave
P1	Potential electrode 1
P2	Potential electrode 2
R	Electrical resistance of object or sample
$R^2$	Coefficient of determination
$R_f$	Friction ratio
r	Correlation coefficient
S	Shear wave
Sr	Degree of saturation
s	Second
V	Potential difference across object
$V_p$	P-wave velocity
$V_s$	S-wave velocity
w	Water content and moisture content
$\rho_a$	Apparent resistivity



$\sigma_a$	Apparent bulk conductivities
$\rho_{Bulk}$	Bulk density
$q_t$	Cone resistance
$^{\circ}$	Degree
$\rho_d$	Dry density
$\rho_w$	Density of water
$\sigma$	Electrical conductivity
$\rho$	Electrical resistivity
$\infty$	Infinity
$<$	Less than
$\alpha$	Linearly proportional
$>$	More than
$\mu$	Micron
$\mu m$	Micrometer
$\Omega$	Ohm
$\%$	Percentage
$\pi$	Pi
$\eta$	Porosity
$\theta$	Volumetric water content

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ASTM	American Society for Testing Materials
BS	British Standard
CC	Coefficient of Curvature
CPT	Cone Penetration Test
CQA	Construction Quality Assurance
CST	Constant Separation Traversing
CWI	Chemical Weathering Index
DC	Direct Current
DCPT	Dynamic Cone Penetration Test
EC	Electrical Conductivity
EDG	Electrical Density Gauge
EDX	Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy
EM	Electromagnetic
ER	Electrical Resistivity
ERCP	Electrical Resistivity Cone Probe
ERI	Electrical Resistivity Imaging
ERM	Electrical Resistivity Method
ERT	Electrical Resistivity Tomography
ERV	Electrical Resistivity Value
FVRP	Field Velocity Resistivity Probe
GPR	Ground Penetrating Radar
KED	Kriging with External Drift
LL	Liquid Limit

LME	Linear Mixed Effect Model
MEMS	Micro Electro Mechanical Systems
MI	Intermediate Plasticity
MIP	Mercury Porosimetry
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste
PAW	Plant Available Water
pH	Potential of Hydrogen
PI	Plasticity Index
PL	Plastic Limit
POSD	Pores-Size Distribution
PPT	Pressure Plate Test
PSD	Particle Size Distribution
RCPT	Resistivity Cone Penetrometer
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
SAS	Signal Averaging System
SCPT <sub>u</sub>	Seismic Piezocone Test
SM	Silty SAND
SDMT <sub>a</sub>	Seismic Flat Dilatometer
SMP	Soil Minipenetrometer
SP	Poorly Graded SAND
SPI	Special Property Index
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
SPT	Standard Penetration Test
SR	Seismic Refraction
SRT	Seismic Refraction Tomography

SWC	Shallow Soil Water Content
SWM	Surface Wave Method
SWV	Soil Volume Wetness
TDR	Time Domain Reflectometry
UC	Coefficient of Uniformity
UKM	Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
USCS	Unified Soil Classification System
VES	Vertical Electrical Sounding
VLF	Very Low Frequency
XRF	X-Ray Fluorescence
XRD	X-Ray Diffractometry
1-D	One-Dimensional
2-D	Two-Dimensional
3-D	Three-Dimensional



PTTAUTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

# **PENENTUAN KANDUNGAN LEMBAPAN DAN KETUMPATAN TANAH MENGUNAKAN NILAI KEBERINTANGAN ELEKTRIK**

## **ABSTRAK**

Ciri geoteknik merupakan elemen penting dalam kerja-kerja rekabentuk dan pembinaan kejuruteraan awam. Pada masa lalu, ciri geoteknik diperolehi menggunakan teknik penyiasatan tapak konvensional melalui penggerudian dan pengorekan. Kaedah tersebut mempunyai beberapa batasan dari segi kos, masa dan liputan data. Maka kajian ini mewujudkan penentuan ciri geoteknik asas (kandungan lembapan dan ketumpatan) menggunakan korelasi data geofizik terutamanya nilai keberintangan elektrik. Kajian ini dijalankan pada tanah pasir dan pasir berkelodak dengan tahap ketumpatan yang berbeza melalui ujikaji makmal, model fizikal lapangan dan lapangan. Sampel tanah diuji di dalam makmal untuk pencirian geoteknik dan ujian keberintangan kotak tanah masing-masing berpandukan BS 1377 (1990) dan AASHTO (T-288-91). Dua model fizikal lapangan homogen pasir dan pasir berkelodak diuji menggunakan keberintangan elektrik dan pengelasan tanah. Pengesahan keputusan dibuat melalui ujikaji lapangan di Kuala Kangsar (pasir) dan Lenggong (pasir berkelodak). Analisis data dibuat menggunakan kaedah statistik dan perisian keberintangan komersial iaitu Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), Microsoft excel dan RES2DINV. Ujikaji makmal mendapati bahawa perkaitan antara nilai keberintangan elektrik tanah dengan kandungan lembapan dan ketumpatan adalah pada korelasi sederhana hingga sangat kuat ( $r = -0.405 - 0.949$ ). Satu siri nilai keberintangan elektrik tanah telah dihasilkan, justeru membolehkan penentuan ciri asas geoteknik tanah menggunakan persamaan statistik yang dihasilkan. Ciri asas geoteknik lapangan terutamanya kandungan lembapan dan

ketumpatan boleh diperolehi menggunakan persamaan statistik dengan menggunakan faktor pekali (C) yang dihasilkan daripada ujikaji model fizikal lapangan. Didapati nilai keberintangan elektrik tanah adalah berbeza dalam keadaan longgar (L) dan tumpat (D) dengan pekali penentuan,  $R^2$  kandungan lembapan dan ketumpatan diperolehi pada nilai 0.7530 – 0.9706 dan boleh digunakan untuk anggaran melalui penggunaan faktor pekali (C) menggunakan persamaan berikut:  $MC_{(L)} = 591.61\rho^{0.557}$ ,  $MC_{(D)} = 723.64\rho^{-0.723}$  dan  $\rho_{bulk(L)} = 5.3011\rho^{-0.193}$ ,  $\rho_{bulk(D)} = 3.3351\rho^{-0.109}$  untuk pasir dan  $MC_{(L)} = 186.81\rho^{-0.265}$ ,  $MC_{(D)} = 259.01\rho^{-0.373}$ ,  $\rho_{bulk(L)} = 0.376\ln(\rho) + 4.3043$  dan  $\rho_{bulk(D)} = 4.591\rho^{-0.138}$  untuk pasir berkelodak. Pengesahan keputusan di Kuala Kangsar dan Lenggong mendapati bahawa teknik ini boleh diguna pakai dalam menentukan kandungan lembapan dan ketumpatan tanah lapangan yang efisien kerana pantas, ekonomi, sebaran data yang luas serta bersifat lestari dengan alam sekitar.



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

# **DETERMINATION OF SOIL MOISTURE CONTENT AND DENSITY USING ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY VALUES**

## **ABSTRACT**

Geotechnical properties are crucial element in design and construction of civil engineering projects. In the past, geotechnical properties were determined using conventional site investigation technique based on drilling and excavation method. The techniques experienced several limitations due to cost, time and data coverage. Hence, this study established basic geotechnical properties determination (moisture content and density) using correlation of geophysical data, particularly electrical resistivity values. This study was performed on SAND and Silty SAND soil with different degree of denseness via laboratory, miniature model and field testes. The soil samples were tested in laboratory for geotechnical characterization and soil box resistivity test according to BS 1377 (1990) and AASHTO (T-288-91) respectively. Two physical field models of homogeneous SAND and silty SAND were tested using electrical resistivity and geotechnical classification. Results validations were performed via field test at Kuala Kangsar (SAND) and Lenggong (Silty SAND) sites. Data analyses were performed using statistical method and commercialize resistivity software via Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software, Microsoft excel and RES2DINV software. Laboratory tests identified that relationship between soil electrical resistivity value with moisture content and density were moderate to very strong correlation ( $r = -0.405 - 0.949$ ). A series of soil electrical resistivity value has been produced, thus allow determining moisture content and density of soil using statistical equation developed. Field basic geotechnical properties particularly

on soil moisture content and density were able to determine using established statistical equation by applying coefficient factor (C) developed from miniature model test. It was apparent that the soil resistivity value was different under loose (L) and dense (D) conditions with moisture content (MC) and density ( $\rho_{\text{bulk}}$ ) coefficient of determination,  $R^2$  being established at 0.7530 – 0.9706 and applicable for prediction via applying coefficient factor (C) using the equation as follows:  $MC_{(L)} = 591.61\rho^{-0.557}$ ,  $MC_{(D)} = 723.64\rho^{-0.723}$  and  $\rho_{\text{bulk}(L)} = 5.3011\rho^{-0.193}$ ,  $\rho_{\text{bulk}(D)} = 3.3351\rho^{-0.109}$  for SAND and  $MC_{(L)} = 186.81\rho^{-0.265}$ ,  $MC_{(D)} = 259.01\rho^{-0.373}$ ,  $\rho_{\text{bulk}(L)} = 0.376\ln(\rho) + 4.3043$  and  $\rho_{\text{bulk}(D)} = 4.591\rho^{-0.138}$  for Silty SAND. Result verification at Kuala Kangsar and Lenggong sites found that this technique was applicable in determination of field moisture content and density efficiently due to fast, economic, large data coverage and sustainable to our environment.



PTTA UTM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH



## REFERENCES

- Abu-Hassanein, Z., Benson, C., and Blotz, L., (1996). Electrical Resistivity of Compacted Clays. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 122(5), pp 397-406.
- Al-Heety, A.J.R., and Shanshal, Z.M., (2015). Integration of seismic refraction tomography and electrical resistivity tomography in engineering geophysics for soil characterization. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, Vol. 9(1), pp 1-11.
- Almalki, H., El-Werr, A.-K., and Abdel-Rahman, K., (2011). Estimation of near-surface geotechnical parameters using seismic measurements at the proposed KACST expansion site, Riyadh, KSA. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, Vol. 4(7), pp 1131-1150.
- Antti Ristolainen, Csilla Farkas, and To'rh, T., (2009). Prediction of Soil Properties with Field Geo-electrical Probes. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, Vol. 40, pp 555–565.
- Barker, R.D., (1997). "Electrical Imaging and its Application in Engineering Investigations," Geological Society, London, Engineering Geology Special Publications.
- Beldjazia, A., and Alatou, D., (2016). Precipitation variability on the massif Forest of Mahouna (North Eastern-Algeria) from 1986 to 2010. *International Journal of Management Sciences and Business Research*, Vol. 5, pp 21-28.
- Bery, A.A., and Saad, R., (2012). Clayey Sand Soil's Behaviour Analysis and Imaging Subsurface Structure via Engineering Characterizations and Integrated Geophysicals Tomography Modeling Methods. *International Journal of Geosciences*, Vol. 3, pp 93-104.
- Bhatt, S., and Jain, P.K., (2014). Correlation between electrical resistivity and water content of sand – a statistical approach. *American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics*, Vol. 6, pp 115-121.
- Brillante, L., Bois, B., Mathieu, O., Bichet, V., Michot, D., and L  v  que, J. (2014). Monitoring soil volume wetness in heterogeneous soils by electrical resistivity.

A field-based pedotransfer function. *Journal of Hydrology*, Vol. 516(0), pp 56-66.

British Standard 1377 (1990). Methods of test for Soils for civil engineering purposes, United Kingdom.

Bryson, L.S., (2005). "Evaluation of Geotechnical Parameters Using Electrical Resistivity," *Measurements Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics*," pp 1-12.

Brunet, P., Clément, R., and Bouvier, C., (2010). Monitoring soil water content and deficit using Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) – A case study in the Cevennes area, France. *Journal of Hydrology*, Vol. 380(1–2), pp 146-153.

Burger, H.R., Sheehan, A.F., and Jones, C.H., (2006). *Introduction to Applied Geophysics*, New York: W.W. Norton & Company.

Cafarelli, B., Castrignanò, A., De Benedetto, D., Palumbo, A.D., and Buttafuoco, G. (2015). A linear mixed effect (LME) model for soil water content estimation based on geophysical sensing: a comparison of an LME model and kriging with external drift. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, Vol. 73(5), pp 1951-1960.

Carrara, E., Pece, R., and Roberti, N., (1994). Geoelectrical and seismic prospections in hydrogeology: Model and master curves for the evaluation of porosity and water saturation. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, Vol. 143(4), pp 729-751.

Chik, Z., and Islam, S.M.T., (2012). Finding Soil Particle Size through Electrical Resistivity in Soil Site Investigations. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 17, pp 1867-1876.

Chik, Z., and Islam, T., (2011). Tomography Analysis of Compacted Soil Using Electrical Conductivity. *Proceeding of the World Congress on Engineering 2011*, London, U.K.

Clayton, C.R.I., Simons, N.E., and Matthews, M.C., (1982). *Site investigation*, London: Blackwell Science Ltd.

Cosenza, P., Marmet, E., Rejiba, F., Jun Cui, Y., Tabbagh, A., and Charlery, Y., (2006). Correlations between geotechnical and electrical data: A case study at Garchy in France. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, Vol. 60(3-4), pp 165-178.

Dafalla, M.A., and AlFouzan, F.A., (2012). Influence of Physical Parameters and Soil Chemical Composition on Electrical Resistivity: A Guide for Geotechnical Soil Profiles. *International Journal of Electrochemical Science China Earth Sciences*, Vol. 7, pp 3191 - 3204.

- Descloitres, M., Ribolzi, O., Troquer, Y.L., and Thiébaux, J.P., (2008). Study of water tension differences in heterogeneous sandy soils using surface ERT. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, Vol. 64(3-4), pp 83-98.
- Dipova, N., (2011). Geotechnical characterization and facies change detection of the Bogacay coastal plain (Antalya, Turkey) soils. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, Vol. 62(4), pp 883-896.
- Ekwue, E.I., and Bartholomew, J., (2011). Electrical conductivity of some soils in Trinidad as affected by density, water and peat content. *Biosystems Engineering*, Vol. 108(2), pp 95-103.
- Evans, J.D., (1996). Straightforward statistics for the behavioral sciences, University of California: Brooks/Cole Publishing Company.
- Ferreira, J., Bustamante, M., Garcia-Montiel, D., Caylor, K., and Davidson, E., (2007). Spatial variation in vegetation structure coupled to plant available water determined by two-dimensional soil resistivity profiling in a Brazilian savanna. *Oecologia*, Vol. 153(2), pp 417-430.
- Francesca, V., Osvaldo, F., Stefano, P., and Paola, R.P., (2010). Soil Moisture Measurements: Comparison of Instrumentation Performances. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, Vol. 136(2), pp 81-89.
- Fragaszy, R., Santamarina, J., Amekudzi, A., Assimaki, D., Bachus, R., and Burns, S., (2011). Sustainable development and energy geotechnology - Potential roles for geotechnical engineering, *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, Vol. 15(4), pp 611-621.
- Giao, P.H., Chung, S.G., Kim, D.Y., and Tanaka, H., (2003). Electric imaging and laboratory resistivity testing for geotechnical investigation of Pusan clay deposits. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, Vol. 52(4), pp 157-175.
- Grellier, S., Reddy, K.R., Gangathulasi, J., Peters, C.C., and Adib, R., (2007). "Correlation Between Electrical Resistivity and Moisture Content of Municipal Solid Waste in Bioreactor Landfill," *Geoenvironmental Engineering*, pp 1-14.
- Griffiths, D.H., and King, R.F., (1981). *Applied Geophysics for Geologist and Engineers - The Element of Geophysical Prospecting*, Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Gul, E., and Ali, L., (2011). "Use of New Geophysical Equipment in Geotechnical Site Characterization Contemporary Topics on Testing, Modeling, and Case Studies of Geomaterials," *Pavements and Tunnels*, pp 150-157.
- Islami, N., Taib, S., Yusoff, I., and Ghani, A., (2011). Integrated geoelectrical resistivity, hydrochemical and soil property analysis methods to study shallow groundwater in the agriculture area, Machang, Malaysia. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, pp 1-14.

- Juang, C.H., Huang, X.H., Holtz, R.D., and Chen, J.W., (1996). Determining Relative Density of Sands from CPT Using Fuzzy Sets. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 122(1). pp 1-6.
- Kalinski, R., and Kelly, W., (1994). Electrical-Resistivity Measurements For Evaluating Compacted-Soil Liners, *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 120(2), pp 451-457.
- Kalinski, M.E., and Vemuri, S.C., (2005). "A Geophysical Approach to Construction Quality Assurance Testing of Compacted Soil Using Electrical Conductivity Measurements," *Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics*, pp 1-10.
- Khatri, R., Shrivastava, V.K., and Chandak, R., (2011). Correlation Between Vertical Electric Sounding And Conventional Methods Of Geotechnical Site Investigation. *International Journal Of Advanced Engineering Sciences And Technologies*, Vol 4(2), pp 042 - 053.
- Kibria, G., Khan, M.S., Hossain, J., and Hossain, M.S., (2012). Determination of Moisture Content and Unit Weight of Clayey Soil Using Resistivity Imaging (RI). *GeoCongress 2012*, pp 3398-3407.
- Kim, J.H., Yoon, H.-K., and Lee, J.-S., (2011). Void Ratio Estimation of Soft Soils Using Electrical Resistivity Cone Probe. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, Vol. 137(1). pp 86-93.
- Kinzli, K.-D., Manana, N., and Oad, R., (2012). Comparison of Laboratory and Field Calibration of a Soil-Moisture Capacitance Probe for Various Soils. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, Vol. 138(4), pp 310-321.
- Kowalczyk, S., Maślakowski, M., and Tucholka, P., (2014). Determination of the correlation between the electrical resistivity of non-cohesive soils and the degree of compaction, *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, Vol. 110, pp 43-50.
- Lekea, A., Kalumba, D., and Chebet, F., (2016). "Application of an Electrical Density Gauge for Measuring In Situ Density and Moisture Content," *Geo-China 2016*, pp 43-50.
- Ligas, P., and Palomba, M., (2006). An integrated application of geological–geophysical methodologies as a cost-efficient tool in improving the estimation of clay deposit potential: Case study from South-Central Sardinia (Italy). *Ore Geology Reviews*, Vol. 29(2), pp 162-175.
- Liu, S., Chen, L., and Han, L., (2008). Study on Electrical Resistivity Related Parameters of Contaminated Soils, *Geotechnical Engineering for Disaster Mitigation and Rehabilitation*, pp. 695-701.

- Liu, S., Du, Y., Han, L., and Gu, M. (2008). Experimental study on the electrical resistivity of soil–cement admixtures. *Environmental Geology*, Vol. 54(6), pp 1227-1233.
- Loke, M.H., Acworth, I., and Dahlin T., (2013). A Comparison of Smooth and Blocky Inversion Methods 2-D Electrical Imaging Surveys. *Exploration Geophysics*, Vol. 34, pp 182-187.
- Lundberg, A.B., Dijkstra, J., Tol, F. v., & Broere, W., (2012). “Investigation of In Situ Soil Density Change by Resistivity Measurements,” GeoCongress 2012, pp. 2590-2598.
- Lekshmi S.U.S., Singh, D.N., and Baghini, M.S., (2014). A critical review of soil moisture measurement. *Measurement*, Vol. 54(0), pp 92-105.
- Mayne, P.W., (2012). “Geotechnical Site Characterization in the Year 2012 and Beyond,” Geotechnical Engineering State of the Art and Practice, pp. 157-186.
- Minerals and Geosciences Department Malaysia., (1985), *Geological Map of Peninsular Malaysia* [Map]. 8<sup>th</sup> Ed. 1:500 000. JMG.
- Murad, O.F., (2012). Obtaining Chemical Properties through Soil Electrical Resistivity. *Journal of Civil Engineering Research*, Vol. 2(6), pp 120-128.
- Nadia, R., Saad, R., Muztaza, N., Ismail, N.A., and Saidin, M.M., (2016). Geotechnical Parameters Study using Seismic Refraction Tomography. *Jurnal Teknologi*, Vol. 78, pp 93-98.
- Nijland, W., van der Meijde, M., Addink, E.A., and de Jong, S.M., (2010). Detection of soil moisture and vegetation water abstraction in a Mediterranean natural area using electrical resistivity tomography. *CATENA*, Vol. 81(3), pp 209-216.
- Nordiana, M.M., Rosli, S., and Nawawi, M.N.M., (2012). A numerical comparison of enhancing horizontal resolution (EHR) technique utilizing 2D resistivity imaging. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, Vol. 7, pp 299-309.
- Oh, S., and Sun, C.-G., (2008). Combined analysis of electrical resistivity and geotechnical SPT blow counts for the safety assessment of fill dam. *Environmental Geology*, Vol. 54(1), pp 31-42.
- Ozcep, F., Yıldırım, E., Tezel, O., Asci, M., and Karabulut, S., (2010). Correlation between electrical resistivity and soil-water content based artificial intelligent techniques. *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, Vol. 5(1), pp 047-056.
- Pozdnyakova, L., Pozdnyakov, A., and Zhang, R., (2001). Application of geophysical methods to evaluate hydrology and soil properties in urban areas. *Urban Water*, Vol. 3(3), pp 205-216.



- Ren, X.-C., Lai, Y.-M., Zhang, F.-Y., and Hu, K., (2015). Test method for determination of optimum moisture content of soil and maximum dry density. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, Vol. 19(7), pp 2061-2066.
- Rinaldi, V., and Cuestas, G., (2002). Ohmic Conductivity of a Compacted Silty Clay. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, Vol. 128(10), pp 824-835.
- Saad, R., Nawawi, M. N. M., and Mohamad, E.T. (2012). Groundwater Detection in Alluvium Using 2-D Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT). *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 17, 369-376.
- Samouëlian, A., Cousin, I., Tabbagh, A., Bruand, A., and Richard, G., (2005). Electrical resistivity survey in soil science: a review. *Soil and Tillage Research*, Vol. 83(2), pp 173-193.
- Schwartz, B.F., Schreiber, M.E., and Yan, T., (2008). Quantifying field-scale soil moisture using electrical resistivity imaging. *Journal of Hydrology*, Vol. 362(3-4), pp 234-246.
- Seidel, K., and Lange, G., (2007). Direct Current Resistivity Methods Environmental Geology: Handbook of Field Methods and Case Studies, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Sénéchal, P., Perroud, H., Kedziorek, M., Bourg, A., and Gloaguen, E., (2005). Non Destructive Geophysical Monitoring of Water Content and Fluid Conductivity Anomalies in the Near Surface at the Border of an Agricultural. *Sensing and Imaging: An International Journal*, Vol. 6(2), pp 167-192.
- Shamaun Kabir, A., Hossain, D., and Abdullah, R., (2011). 2-D electrical imaging in some geotechnical investigation of Madhupur clays, Bangladesh. *Journal of the Geological Society of India*, Vol. 77(1), pp 73-81.
- Shihada, H., Hossain, M., Kemler, V., and Dugger, D., (2013). Estimating Moisture Content of Landfilled Municipal Solid Waste without Drilling - An Innovative Approach. *Journal of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste*, Vol. 17(4), pp 317-330.
- Siddiqui, F.I., and Osman, S.B.A.S., (2012). Integrating Geo-Electrical and Geotechnical Data for Soil Characterization. *International Journal of Applied Physics and Mathematics*, Vol. 2, pp 104-106.
- Siddiqui, F.I., and Osman, S.B.A.B.S., (2013). Simple and multiple regression models for relationship between electrical resistivity and various soil properties for soil characterization. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, Vol. 70(1), pp 259-267.

- So, S.T.C., Lau, T.M.F., and Hui, T.H.H., (2016). "Infrared Oven for the Determination of Soil Moisture Content," Geo-Chicago 2016, pp 297-304.
- Solberg, I.-L., Hansen, L., Rønning, J., Haugen, E., Dalsegg, E., and Tønnesen, J., (2011). Combined geophysical and geotechnical approach to ground investigations and hazard zonation of a quick clay area, mid Norway. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, Vol. 71(1), pp 119-133.
- Son, Y., Oh, M., and Lee, S., (2010). Estimation of soil weathering degree using electrical resistivity. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, Vol. 59(6), pp 1319-1326.
- Stone, K.J., and Kyambadde, B.S., (2007). Determination of Strength and Index Properties of Fine-Grained Soils Using a Soil Minipenetrometer. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, Vol. 133(6). pp 667-673.
- Sudha, K., Israil, M., Mittal, S., and Rai, J., (2009). Soil characterization using electrical resistivity tomography and geotechnical investigations. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, Vol. 67(1), pp 74-79.
- Tajul Baharuddin, M., Taib, S., Hashim, R., Abidin, M., and Rahman, N., (2012). Assessment of seawater intrusion to the agricultural sustainability at the coastal area of Carey Island, Selangor, Malaysia. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, Vol. 6, pp 3909-3928.
- Tarmizi, Saad, R., and Saidin, M.M., (2016). The Relationship Between Resistivity Value and Mackintosh Probe (M-value) for Soft Soil at Sungai Batu, Kedah (Malaysia). *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 21, pp 4875-4882.
- Telford, W.M., Geldart, L.P., and Sheriff, R.E., (1990). *Applied Geophysics*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tezel, O., and Ozcep, F., (2003). "Relationships of Electrical Resistivity and Geotechnical Parameters," *Earth Sciences and Electronics*, Istanbul, Turkey.
- Turesson, A., (2006). Water content and porosity estimated from ground-penetrating radar and resistivity. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, Vol. 58(2), pp 99-111.
- Uyanik, O., (2011). The porosity of saturated shallow sediments from seismic compressional and shear wave velocities. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, Vol. 73(1), pp 16-24.
- Wang, M., Pande, G.N., Kong, L.W., and Feng, Y.T., (2017). Comparison of Pore-Size Distribution of Soils Obtained by Different Methods. *International Journal of Geomechanics*, Vol. 17(1), pp 1-6.
- Whitlow, R., (2001). *Basic Soil Mechanics*, England: Pearson Education.

- Wojnar, A.J., Mutiti, S., and Levy, J., (2013). Assessment of geophysical surveys as a tool to estimate riverbed hydraulic conductivity. *Journal of Hydrology*, Vol. 482, pp 40-56.
- Yahaya, A.S., Ahmed, A., Gabda, D., and Na, C.S., (2008), Problem and Solution in Statistics for Engineers and Scientist, Selangor: Prentice Hall.
- Yalcin, A., (2011). A geotechnical study on the landslides in the Trabzon Province, NE, Turkey. *Applied Clay Science*, Vol. 52(1–2), pp 11-19.
- Yamakawa, Y., Kosugi, K. i., Masaoka, N., Sumida, J., Tani, M., and Mizuyama, T., (2012). Combined geophysical methods for detecting soil thickness distribution on a weathered granitic hillslope. *Geomorphology*, Vol. 145–146, pp 56-69.
- Yoon, H.-K., and Lee, J.-S., (2010). Field velocity resistivity probe for estimating stiffness and void ratio. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, Vol. 30(12), pp 1540-1549.
- Zha, F., Liu, S., and Du, Y., (2007). “Evaluation of Change in Structure of Expansive Soils upon Swelling Using Electrical Resistivity Measurements,” *Advances in Measurement and Modeling of Soil Behavior*, pp 1-10.
- Zha, F., Liu, S., Du, Y., Cui, K., and Xu, L., (2010). Characterization of Compacted Loess by Electrical Resistivity Method, *Soil Behavior and Geo-Micromechanics*, pp 68-73.